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## Plan for Today

- Deep Neural Networks
- Model
- Training
- Convolutional Neural Networks
- Model
- Training


## Deep Neural Networks (DNN)

DNN = Neural Network with > 3 layers
we know: L = 3 layers in MLP sufficient to describe arbitrary sets

What can be achieved by more than 3 layers? information stored in weights of edges of network
$\rightarrow$ more layers $\rightarrow$ more neurons $\rightarrow$ more edges $\rightarrow$ more information storable
Which additional information storage is useful?
traditionally : handcrafted features fed into 3-layer perceptron modern viewpoint : let L-k layers learn the feature map, last k layers separate!
advantage:
human expert need not design features manually for each application domain
$\Rightarrow$ no expert needed, only observations!
dortmund

## Deep Neural Networks (DNN)

example: separate 'inner ring' (i.r.) / 'outer ring' (o.r.) / 'outside'

$\Rightarrow$ MLP with 3 layers and 12 neurons

## Is there a simpler way?

observations $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{B} \quad$ feature map $F(x)=\left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{m}(x)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$
feature = measurable property of an observation or numerical transformation of observed value(s)
$\Rightarrow$ find MLP on transformed data points ( $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{y}$ )

## Deep Neural Networks (DNN)

## example: separate 'inner ring' / 'outer ring'

- feature map $F(x)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$

- feature map $F(x)=\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$
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## Deep Neural Networks (DNN)

Lecture 12
but: how to find useful features?
$\rightarrow$ typically designed by experts with domain knowledge
$\rightarrow$ traditional approach in classification:

1. design \& select appropriate features
2. map data to feature space
3. apply classification method to data in feature space
modern approach via DNN: learn feature map and classification simultaneously!

proven: MLP can approximate any continuous map with aribitrary accuracy

## Deep Multi-Layer Perceptrons

## contra:

- danger: overfitting
$\rightarrow$ need larger training set (expensive!)
$\rightarrow$ optimization needs more time
- response landscape changes
$\rightarrow$ more sigmoidal activiations
$\rightarrow$ gradient vanishes
$\rightarrow$ small progress in learning weights


## countermeasures:

- regularization / dropout
$\rightarrow$ data augmentation
$\rightarrow$ parallel hardware (multi-core / GPU)
- not necessarily bad
$\rightarrow$ change activation functions
$\rightarrow$ gradient does not vanish
$\rightarrow$ progress in learning weights
vanishing gradient: (underlying principle) forward pass $\quad y=f_{3}\left(f_{2}\left(f_{1}\left(x ; w_{1}\right) ; w_{2}\right) ; w_{3}\right) \quad f_{i} \approx$ activation function backward pass $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{w}_{1}\right) ; \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{w}_{3}\right)\right)^{\text {d }}=$ $\mathrm{f}_{3}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{w}_{1}\right) ; \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{w}_{3}\right) \cdot \mathrm{f}_{2}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{w}_{1}\right) ; \mathrm{w}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathrm{f}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{w}_{1}\right) \quad$ chain rule!
$\rightarrow$ repeated multiplication of values in $(0,1) \rightarrow 0$


## Deep Multi-Layer Perceptrons

Lecture 12
vanishing gradient: $\quad a(x)=\frac{e^{x}}{e^{x}+1}=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} \quad \rightarrow \quad a^{\prime}(x)=a(x) \cdot(1-a(x))$
$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}: \quad a(x) \cdot(1-a(x)) \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(a(x)-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \geq 0$
$\Rightarrow$ gradient $a^{\prime}(x) \in\left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right]$
principally: desired property in learning process!
if weights stabilize such that neuron almost always either fires [i.e., $a(x) \approx 1$ ] or not fires [i.e., $a(x) \approx 0$ ] then gradient $\approx 0$ and the weights are hardly changed
$\Rightarrow$ leads to convergence in the learning process!

while learning, updates of weights via partial derivatives:

$$
\frac{\partial f\left(w, u ; x, z^{*}\right)}{\partial w_{i j}}=2 \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left[a\left(u_{k}^{\prime} y\right)-z_{k}^{*}\right] \cdot \underbrace{a^{\prime}\left(u_{k}^{\prime} y\right)}_{\leq \frac{1}{4}} \cdot u_{j k} \cdot \underbrace{a^{\prime}\left(w_{j}^{\prime} x\right)}_{\leq \frac{1}{4}} \cdot x_{i} \quad \text { (L= } 2 \text { layers) }
$$

$\Rightarrow$ in general $f_{w_{i j}}=O\left(4^{-L}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $L \uparrow$ $L \leq 3$ : effect neglectable; but $L \gg 3$ 区

## Deep Neural Networks

non-sigmoid activation functions

$$
\int \mathbb{1}_{[x \geq 0]}(x) d x=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } x<0 \\
x & \text { if } x \geq 0
\end{array}\right\}=\max \{0, x\}=\operatorname{ReLU}(x)
$$





## Deep Neural Networks

## dropout

- applied for regularization (against overfitting)
- can be interpreted as inexpensive approximation of bagging

$$
\downarrow
$$

aka: bootstrap aggregating, model averaging, ensemble methods
create $k$ training sets by drawing with replacement
train $k$ models (with own exclusive training set)
combine $k$ outcomes from k models (e.g. majority voting)

- parts of network is effectively switched off
e.g. multiplication of outputs with 0 ,
e.g. use inputs with prob. 0.8 and inner neurons with prob. 0.5
- gradient descent on switching parts of network
$\rightarrow$ artificial perturbation of greediness during gradient descent
- can reduce computational complexity if implemented sophistically


## Deep Neural Networks

data augmentation (counteracts overfitting)
$\rightarrow$ extending training set by slightly perturbed true training examples

- best applicable if inputs are images: translate, rotate, add noise, resize, ...

original image

rotated

resized

noisy

noisy + rotated
- if $x$ is real vector then adding e.g. small gaussian noise $\rightarrow$ here, utility disputable (artificial sample may cross true separating line)
extra costs for acquiring additional annotated data are inevitable!


## Deep Neural Networks

stochastic gradient descent

- partitioning of training set B into (mini-) batches of size b
traditionally: 2 extreme cases
update of weights
- after each training example $\quad b=1$
- after all training examples
now:
update of weights
- after b training examples where $1<b<|B|$
- search in subspaces $\rightarrow$ counteracts greediness $\rightarrow$ better generalization
- accelerates optimization methods (parallelism possible)
choice of batch size $\mathbf{b}$
b large $\quad \Rightarrow$ better approximation of gradient
b small $\Rightarrow$ better generalization
b also depends on available hardware b too small $\Rightarrow$ multi-cores underemployed


$$
\text { often } b \approx 100 \text { (empirically) }
$$

## Deep Neural Networks

## cost functions

- regression

N training samples $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
insist that $f\left(x_{i} ; \theta\right)=y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$
if $f(x ; \theta)$ linear in $\theta$ then $\theta^{\top} x_{i}=y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$ or $X \theta=y$
$\Rightarrow$ best choice for $\theta$ : least square estimator (LSE)
$\Rightarrow(\mathrm{X} \theta-\mathrm{y})^{\top}(\mathrm{X} \theta-\mathrm{y}) \rightarrow \min _{\theta}!$
in case of MLP: $f(x ; \theta)$ is nonlinear in $\theta$
$\Rightarrow$ best choice for $\theta$ : (nonlinear) least square estimator; aka TSSE
$\Rightarrow \sum_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \theta\right)-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \min _{\theta}!$

## Deep Neural Networks

```
Lecture 12
```


## cost functions

- classification
$N$ training samples $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ where $y_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, C\}, C=\#$ classes
$\rightarrow$ want to estimate probability of different outcomes for unknown sample
$\rightarrow$ decision rule: choose class with highest probability (given the data)
idea: use maximum likelihood estimator (MLE)
$=$ estimate unknown parameter $\theta$ such that likelihood of sample $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ gets maximal as a function of $\theta$
$\underline{\text { likelihood function }} L\left(\theta ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right):=f_{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} ; \theta\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{N} f_{X}\left(x_{i} ; \theta\right) \rightarrow \max _{\theta}!$


## Deep Neural Networks

here: random variable $X \in\{1, \ldots, C\}$ with $P\{X=i\}=q_{i}$ (true, but unknown)
$\rightarrow$ we use relative frequencies of training set $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ as estimator of $q_{i}$

$$
\hat{q}_{i}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\left[x_{j}=i\right]} \Rightarrow \text { there are } N \cdot \hat{q}_{i} \text { samples of class } i \text { in training set }
$$

$\Rightarrow$ the neural network should output $\hat{p}$ as close as possible to $\hat{q}$ !
likelihood $L\left(\hat{p} ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{N} P\left\{X_{k}=x_{k}\right\}=\prod_{i=1}^{C} \hat{p}_{i}^{N \cdot \hat{q}_{i}} \rightarrow \max !$
$\log L=\log \left(\prod_{i=1}^{C} \hat{p}_{i}^{N \cdot \hat{q}_{i}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{C} \log \hat{p}_{i}^{N \cdot \hat{q}_{i}}=N \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{C} \hat{q}_{i} \cdot \log \hat{p}_{i}}_{-H(\hat{q}, \hat{p})} \rightarrow \max !$
$\Rightarrow$ maximizing $\log L$ leads to same solution as minimizing cross-entropy $H(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$

## Deep Neural Networks

in case of classification
use softmax function $P\{y=j \mid x\}=\frac{e^{w_{j}^{T} x+b_{j}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{C} e^{w_{i}^{T} x+b_{i}}}$ in output layer
$\rightarrow$ multiclass classification: probability of membership to class $\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{C}$
$\rightarrow$ class with maximum excitation $w^{\prime} x+b$ has maximum probabilty
$\rightarrow$ decision rule: element x is assigned to class with maximum probability

## Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

most often used in graphical applications (2-D input; also possible: k-D tensors)

## layer of CNN = 3 stages

1. convolution
2. nonlinear activation (e.g. ReLU)
3. pooling


## 1. Convolution

local filter / kernel $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ applied to each cell of image $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$

$$
S(x, y)=(K * I)(x, y)=\sum_{i=-\delta}^{\delta} \sum_{j=-\delta}^{\delta} I(x+i, y+j) \cdot K(i, j)
$$

## Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

## Lecture 12

example: edge detection with Sobel kernel
$\rightarrow$ two convolutions

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}=\underset{\substack{-1,0,1 \\
-2,0,2 \\
-1,0,1 \\
\text { yields } S_{x}}}{\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{y}}\right.}=\underset{\text { yields } S_{y}}{\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
-1,-2, & -1 \\
0, & 0, & 0 \\
1,2, & 1
\end{array}\right)} \quad S(x, y)=\sqrt{S_{x}(x, y)^{2}+S_{y}(x, y)^{2}}
$$


original image $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$

image $S(x, y)$ after convolution

## Convolutional Neural Networks

## filter / kernel

well known in image processing; typically hand-crafted!
here: values of filter matrix learnt in CNN !
actually: many filters active in CNN
$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1\end{array}\right)$
e.g. horizontal line detection

## stride

$=$ distance between two applications of a filter (horizontal $s_{h} /$ vertical $s_{v}$ )
$\rightarrow$ leads to smaller images if $s_{h}$ or $s_{v}>1$

## padding

$=$ treatment of border cells if filter does not fit in image

- "valid" : apply only to cells for which filter fits $\rightarrow$ leads to smaller images
- "same" : add rows/columns with zero cells; apply filter to all cells ( $\rightarrow$ same size)


## Convolutional Neural Networks

## 2. nonlinear activation

$$
a(x)=\operatorname{ReLU}\left(x^{\top} W+c\right)
$$

## 3. pooling

in principle: summarizing statistic of nearby outputs
e.g. max-pooling $m(i, j)=\max (1(i+a, j+b): a, b=-\delta, \ldots, 0, \ldots \delta)$ for $\delta>0$

- also possible: mean, median, matrix norm, ...
- can be used to reduce matrix / output dimensions


## Convolutional Neural Networks

## Lecture 12

example: max-pooling $2 \times 2$ (iterated), stride $=2$


$375 \times 500$

$187 \times 250$

$32 \times 32$
pooling
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## Convolutional Neural Networks

## Pooling with Stride

$\mathrm{c}_{\text {in }}$ : columns of input
$r_{\text {in }}$ : rows of input
$f_{c} \quad$ : columns of filter
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}} \quad$ : rows of filter
$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad$ : stride for columns
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}} \quad$ : stride for rows
image size : $r_{\text {in }} \times c_{\text {in }}$ filter size : $f_{r} \times f_{c}$
assumptions:
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \leq \mathrm{c}_{\text {in }}$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}} \leq \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{in}}$
padding = valid


How often fits the filter in image horizontally?
pos $_{1}=1$
$\mathrm{pos}_{2}=\mathrm{pos}_{1}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}$
$\operatorname{pos}_{3}=\operatorname{pos}_{2}+s_{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\operatorname{pos}_{1}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}=\operatorname{pos}_{1}+2 \cdot \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{c}}$
$\operatorname{pos}_{\mathrm{k}}=\operatorname{pos}_{1}+(\mathrm{k}-1) \cdot \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}$
thus, find largest $k$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \operatorname{pos}_{1}+(\mathrm{k}-1) \cdot \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}+\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}-1\right) \leq \mathrm{c}_{\text {in }} \\
\Leftrightarrow & (\mathrm{k}-1) \cdot \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \leq \mathrm{c}_{\text {in }} \\
\Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{k} \leq\left(\mathrm{c}_{\text {in }}-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) / \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}+1 \quad \text { (integer division!) } \\
\Rightarrow & \mathrm{k}=\left\lfloor\frac{\mathrm{c}_{\text {in }}-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{c}}}\right\rfloor+1=\mathrm{c}_{\text {out }}
\end{array}
$$

[ analog reasoning for rows! ]

## Convolutional Neural Networks

## Lecture 12

## CNN architecture:

- several consecutive convolution layers (also parallel streams); possibly dropouts
- flatten layer ( $\rightarrow$ converts k-D matrix to 1-D matrix required for MLP input layer)
- fully connected MLP


## examples:



## Convolutional Neural Networks

## Popular CNN Architectures

| Name | Year | Depth | \#Params |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LeNet | 1998 |  |  |
| AlexNet | 2012 |  | $>60 \mathrm{M}$ |
| VGG16 | 2014 | 23 | $>23 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Inception-v1 | 2014 |  |  |
| ResNet50 | 2014 |  | $>25 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Inception-v3 | 2015 | 159 |  |
| Xception | 2016 | 126 | $>22 \mathrm{M}$ |
| InceptionResNet 2017 | 572 | $>55 \mathrm{M}$ |  |

## Convolutional Neural Networks

## Popular CNN Architectures

LeNet-5 (1998)

$\mathrm{T}=\tanh$
S = softmax

## Convolutional Neural Networks

## Popular CNN Architectures

## AlexNet (2012)


$\mathrm{T}=\tanh$
R = ReLU
S = softmax
Used dropout

## Convolutional Neural Networks

## Popular CNN Architectures

VGG-16 (2014)

$\mathrm{T}=\tanh$
R = ReLU
S = softmax
Deeper than AlexNet

